

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION
11

12 STEVEN NERAYOFF, et al.,
13 Plaintiffs,
14 v.
15 RAGER, BELL, DOSKOCIAL, AND
16 MEYER, et al.,
17 Defendants.

Case No.: C 11-00386 PSG

**ORDER DENYING STIPULATED
REQUEST**

(Re: Docket No. 4)

18
19 The parties have filed a stipulated request to continue several deadlines including the date of
20 the case management conference. A court order is not necessary for parties to stipulate to extend the
21 time within which to respond to a complaint or for matters not required to be filed or lodged with the
22 court, provided the change will not alter the date of any event already fixed by court order.¹ A court
23 order is required only when the change alters an event or deadline that is already fixed by court order
24 or that involves papers required to be filed or lodged with the court (other than an initial response to
25 the complaint).²

26 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the stipulated request is DENIED. The parties' stipulation

27
28 ¹ See Civ. L.R. 6-1(a).

² See Civ. L.R. 6-1(b).

1 is sufficient to extend those deadlines subject to Civ. L.R. 6-1(a). The timing of events and
2 deadlines that cannot be extended without a court order, as noted in Civ. L.R. 6-1(b) however,
3 remain unchanged.

4 Dated: April 5, 2011

5 
6 PAUL S. GREWAL
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28